

## School Conditions and Climate Workgroup

February 13, 2017

Seneca Family of Agencies, Oakland

CDE Present: Jacquelyn, Jen

CC Present: Roni, Margit, Mary

Members Present: Brent, Tom Hanson, Sherry, Brooks, Nancy B, Tom Herman, Geordee, Aaron, Ken, Norma (via phone: Heather during Scale Subgroup, Shannan Brown during modalities subgroup)

Agenda Topics/Activities
Welcome and Agenda Review
Icebreaker-Wow and Wonder
Diagram and Subgroup Report Share
Meet in Subgroups (Scale, Modalities, Validity)
Subgroups Report
Next Steps
Key Themes
<b>SHARE DIAGRAM AND SUBGROUP REPORTS:</b> Clarification on “core recommendation” in diagram- this is not related to CORE Districts, this meant the CCWG recommendation. Revise diagram to clarify the relation to recommendation, LCAP/LCFF, implementation, include school conditions somewhere. Other revisions suggested: include collection, meaning, use, for who? - who will review this diagram- audience. Suggestion made to include evidence, not just guides/information. Also, include constructs/themes, definition/features added somewhere, clarify support network.
<b>WORKING LUNCH CONVERSATION:</b> Initial information will be provided to the SBE in March, recommendation in September 2017. CCWG has gone back and forth between policy recommendation and implementation plan. Focus should be on policy recommendation- can later weave in implementation. What does this look like- key principles, content areas, what required. Enough information to receive feedback from SBE to gauge thinking- we need to know that.
<b>SUBGROUP REPORT OUTS:</b>  <b>SCALE:</b> Importance of having statewide, state <i>required</i> items that measure a few things across the state by all districts. The CPAG memo says recommended. Thought about recommending common constructs, but thought better to put it out now rather than later. The other item was the issue of disaggregation by student group and school. Not in CPAG memo, but key. Report student group data on the dashboard. Only where applicable, with n size aligned to LCAP guidelines. Is required is too soon? -Did remove from CPAG memo, have not had consensus among CCWG to use required. Needs further discussion, not enough time and do not want to rush this conversation. Conversation around constructs: school climate vs. SEL constructs, reasons for comparability among state, but not high stakes accountability.  <b>MODALITY:</b> Discussed uniform base and additional questions, finding a balance between quality and validity. Data, meaning, and use applied to local- important to get to continuous improvement. Use this priority as hub for other priorities, comprehensive. If focus is on one instrument, we are in danger of losing the use.

**Validity:** Need guidance about what constructs are important to measure- either state or local. Not always the case that a survey is valid. Validation is a process. We need to gather domains from group.

**Action Steps**

- Next meeting- March 21, 2017
- Stakeholder Session- March 7, 2017
- SBE Meeting-March 8, 2017